

# **EU Commitment Decisions**

12th EU-China Competition Week 14-18th March 2016

> Chris Mayock DG Competition European Commission

> > Competition



#### Structure

- Background
- Procedure
- Choice of infringement decision or commitments
- Scope & type of commitments
- Content of the commitments
- After the commitments
- Commission's decisional practice
- Statistics



### Background (1)

Main types of Commission decision under Regulation 1/2003

- Article 7 : Infringement
- Article 8 : Interim measures
- Article 9 : Commitments
- Article 10 : No infringement



### Background (2)

Infringement decisions v commitment decisions

- Infringement decisions
  - Find an infringement
  - May impose cease & desist order, fines and/or remedies
- Commitment decisions
  - No finding of infringement
  - No fine
  - Accept commitments and make them binding



### **Procedure (1)**

- Initiative
  - Initiative of the parties
  - Commission must be convinced of parties genuine willingness to propose effective commitments
  - Commission retains margin of discretion
- Commission issues a Preliminary Assessment
  - Clearly defined competition concerns
  - Well-defined theory of harm substantiated by evidence
- Parties submit commitments



### **Procedure (2)**

- Acceptable commitments market test
  - Publication in EU Official Journal + targeted requests for information
  - Description of concerns + link to commitments text + invitation to comment
- Advisory Committee
- Final decision



# Choice of infringement decision v commitments decision (1)

- Commission has margin of discretion
- Case by case analysis no predefined set of criteria
- Excluded : cases where the Commission intends to impose a fine (i.e. cartels, procedural infringements, past infringements)
- Not excluded : infringements which could lead to a fine



# Choice of infringement decision v commitments decision (2)

Factors related to the type of decision

- Commitment decision
  - Efficient and swift solving of competition concerns
  - Procedural economy
- Infringement decision
  - Fines/deterrence
  - Precedent value
  - Actions for damages

Competition



# Choice of infringement decision v commitments decision (3)

Case specific factors

- Effective commitments possible?
- Commitments offered : effective, clear, unconditional, easy to monitor
- Timing
- Number of parties, whether all are willing to offer commitments

NB: Overall, commitment decisions work when there is more to gain from fixing future functioning of the market rather than punishing past conduct



### Scope & type of commitments

- Commitments can go further than the remedies that the Commission would have been able to impose in an infringement decision (*Alrosa* Judgment, Court of Justice)
- Both behavioural and structural commitments possible
- Choice based on particular case and commitments offered
- But Commission must choose least burdensome among several effective offers (usually behavioural)



### **Content of the commitments**

- The commitment itself
- Implementation date
- Reporting
- Review Clause
- Anti-circumvention clause
- Duration & termination
- Trustee



### **After the Commitments**

- Implementing (trustee)
- Monitoring
  - Complaints (customers competitors, trustee, regulator)
  - Commission own screening (based on reporting obligations)
- Sanctions for non-compliance
  - Fine (NB Microsoft)
  - Periodic penalty payments
- Re-opening of proceedings on substance possible



### **Commission decisional pratice**

Key areas of commitment decisions

- Technology markets (e.g. *Microsoft, IBM, E-Books*)
- Energy (e.g. E.On, Gaz de France, BEH Gas)
- Financial services (*e.g. Visa, Standard & Poor's*)
- Others (e.g. FA Premier League, Star Alliance)



## Statistics since 2004 (1)

- Breakdown by infringement decision/commitments
  - Antitrust & cartels : 37 commitment decisions out of 127 (29%)
  - Antitrust only : 37 commitment decisions out of 59 (63%)
  - Abuse of dominance cases: 20 commitment decision out of 30 (66%)
- Breakdown by agreements (Art 101) v abuse of dominance (Art 102)
  - 19 pure agreement cases (51%)
  - 17 pure abuse of dominance cases (46%)
  - 1 decision based on both Articles 101 & 102 (3%)



## Statistics since 2004 (2)

• Breakdown by type of commitments

- o **75% behavioural**
- o 25% structural



# Thank you for your attention

Competition