# The International Dimension of EU State Aid Control

Hilary Jennings EU-China Workshop on State Aid Control EU-China Trade Project (II) Beijing, PRC, 17 October 2014

## Overview

- EU State aid rules in the multilateral context
  Interaction and comparison with WTO rules
- EU State aid rules in the bilateral context
  - Free Trade Agreements versus multilateral rules

### EU State aid in the multilateral context

- EU State aid control regime is part of wider transnational regimes – notably WTO rules that apply to subsidies
- Support measures of EU Member States are subject to EU State aid rules and WTO rules
  - WTO law binding on Member States of EU (Article 216(2) TFEU)
- Support measures by EU itself are covered by WTO rules
  - WTO agreements binding on EU organs (Article 216(2) TFEU)

# WTO subsidy regime

- GATT 1947 and GATT 1979 established a basic discipline on subsidies
  - Subsidies Code not all GATT parties adhered to it
  - Articles VI and XVI
- GATT 1994 (Uruguay Round) established the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM)
  - Applies to all WTO members
  - Definition of subsidies
  - Two clear broad sets of rules

## Definition of subsidy/State aid:

#### WTO:

- (i) a financial contribution by the government / public body
- (ii) confers a benefit upon its recipients
- (iii) is specific to a company, an industry, or a group of industries

#### EU:

- (i) granted through State resources
- (ii) provides an advantage to an undertaking
- (iii) selective
- (iv) potentially distorts competition and has an effect on trade

## Assessing subsidies in WTO and EU



The WTO SCM is only applicable to subsidies in goods, not services



- WTO rules do not prohibit all subsidies
- Article 107(1) TFEU prohibits all State aid in principle
- But Articles 107(2) and (3) establish exceptions similar to amber and green WTO categories

## **Control procedures:**

#### WTO

- No ex ante control
- No exceptions to rules (no "WTO compatible subsidies")
- 2 sets of rules:
- (i) Track 1 Countervailing duties on prohibited and actionable subsidies if subsidy hurts domestic industry
  - Remedy = neutralise effect of subsidy on WTO member's market through duties
- (ii) Track 2 Dispute settlement for prohibited subsidies (no need to show adverse effect) and actionable subsidies (need to show adverse effect on domestic industry)
  - Remedy = withdrawal of subsidy or remove adverse effects

#### EU

- Ex ante assessment
- Exemption if covered by Block Exemption Regulation
- Notification procedure
- Commission applies balancing test when State aid not covered by Block Exemption Regulation
- Based on effect of the measure not the form
- Commission can authorise State aid
- Recovery of illegal State Aid by Commission on own initiative or following complaint
  - Remedy: retro-active recovery of aid

# Notification and transparency procedures

#### WTO:

- Annual notification of subsidy under Article 25 SCM
- Sufficiently specific to enable other WTO members to measure trade effects
- No specific requirement to notify subsidy before it is granted
- Reviewed in WTO Subsidies Committee
- Poor compliance record

#### EU:

- Strong transparency measures introduced under Modernisation programme
- Member States to make public granting of un-notified aid if it exceeds threshold and set up website with details of beneficiary, amount and objective of aid
- Gives greater capacity to other Member States and companies to monitor compliance

## **EU-WTO regimes compared**

- EU and WTO rules have common elements but differ in approach
  - Both aim at reduction of State aid/subsidies because of distortive effect on competition
  - EU law prohibits large number of State aids unless compatible under Article 107(2) and (3)
  - WTO prohibits export subsidies but allows countervailing measures against large range of other subsidies
- WTO law more limited in scope and enforcement?
  - Explained by different functions of EU State aid and WTO rules

## EU State aid policy in a bilateral

## context

- Potential for regulation of subsidies beyond existing WTO rules through bilateral trade agreements?
  - Some bilateral agreements between EU and third countries mirror EU State aid provisions :
    - For example: Albania, Croatia, Switzerland, Turkey, Israel, Jordan, Tunisia, Egypt, South Africa
  - Others, e.g. EU-Singapore Free Trade Agreement (FTA) and EU-Korea FTA have chapters on competition and subsidies
    - Covers actionable subsidies and promotes transparency
    - EU-Singapore FTA subsidy provisions set a benchmark for other FTA negotiations
- Scope for advocacy of State aid control through bilateral agreements
  - Opportunity to test them

## **Concluding remarks**

- Emphasis by EU on provisions and mechanisms to provide for effective control of State aid
  - Within EU and with third countries
- Different approach of WTO and EU
  - WTO deals with damage repair or remedies
  - EU allows for smoother intervention, promotes transparency and a more effects-based approach to steer Member States away from inefficient aid

# The International Dimension of EU State Aid Control

Hilary Jennings EU-China Workshop on State Aid Control EU-China Trade Project (II) Beijing, PRC, 17 October 2014